UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,292	07/29/2003	Mihai Christodorescu	1512.149	6450	
	2088 7590 08/13/2009 VISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH FOUNDATION			EXAMINER	
C/O BOYLE FREDRICKSON S.C 840 North Plankinton Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53203			GELAGAY, SHEWAYE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2437		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/13/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/629,292	CHRISTODORESCU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHEWAYE GELAGAY	2437				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 A</u>	pril 2009					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12, 13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*	, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	акент Аррисация				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,292 Page 2

Art Unit: 2437

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Applicant's argument filed on April 27, 2009.

2. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Response to Amendment

3. The Declaration filed on 4/27/09 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Christodorescu reference.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/27/09 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nachenberg U.S. Patent Number 6,357,008 in view of Nachenberg US 6,851,057 (hereinafter Nachenberg '057) and in view of Schmall et al. (hereinafter Schmall) US 7,069,589.

As per claim 1:

Nachenberg teaches a computer program for identifying malicious portions in a suspect computer program comprising:

a preprocessor portion for receiving the suspect computer program and creating a logically equivalent standardized version of the suspect program; (col. 5, lines 27-39; col. 6, line 53-col. 7, line 22)

a library of standardized malicious code portions; (col. 7, line 23-col. 8, line 31; col. 9, lines 26-65) and

a detector portion reviewing the standardized version against the library of malicious code portions to provide an output indicating when a malicious code portion is present in the suspect program. (col. 9, line 66-col. 10, line 10; col. 15, line 38-col. Col. 16, line 63)

Nachenberg does not explicitly disclose creating a logically equivalent standardized version of the suspect program without executing the suspect program. Nachenberg '057 in analogous art, however, discloses creating a logically equivalent standardized version of the suspect program. (col. 3, lines 1-67; col. 4, line 51-67; col. 8, line 5-col. 9, line 14) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Nachenberg with Nachenberg '057 in order to prevent a virus from modifying the destination of an existing JMP or CALL instruction anywhere in the file to point the location of viral code elsewhere in the file. (col. 5, lines 58-64; Nachenberg '057)

Both references do not explicitly disclose a preprocessor creating standardized version without executing the suspect program. Schmall in analogous art, however,

Art Unit: 2437

discloses creating standardized version without executing the suspect program. (col. 8, lines 7-42) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Nachenberg and Nachenberg '057 with Schmall in order to in order to detect a virus that copies itself differently by changing the order of code execution the virus. (col. 2, lines 47-54; Schmall)

As per claim 2:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Nachenberg further teaches wherein the standardized version identifies the execution order of instructions of the suspect program and wherein the detector portion reviews the instructions of the standardized version according to the execution order. (col. 2, line 38-col. 4, line 65; col. 7, line 23-col. 8, line 31; col. 9, line 26- col. 10, line 10; col. 15, line 38-col. Col. 16, line 63)

As per claim 3:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Nachenberg teaches wherein the preprocessor identifies the execution order of the instructions by generation of a control-flow listing of the instructions. (col. 2, line 38-col. 4, line 65; col. 9, lines 26-67)

As per claim 6:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Nachenberg further teaches wherein the standardized version removes irrelevant portions of the suspect program. (col. 13, line

Art Unit: 2437

42-col. 15, line 37)

As per claim 7:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Nachenberg teaches wherein the preprocessor removes irrelevant portions by identifying irrelevant portions to the detector so that the detector ignores identified irrelevant portions when reviewing the standardized version. (col. 13, line 42-col. 15, line 37)

As per claim 8:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Nachenberg teaches wherein the irrelevant portions are one or more nop instructions. (col. 13, line 42-col. 15, line 37)

As per claim 9:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Nachenberg teaches wherein the standardized version uses uninterpreted variables. (col. 13, line 42-col. 15, line 37)

As per claim 10:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Nachenberg teaches wherein the suspect program is a binary executable and wherein the preprocessor receives the binary executable to generate a listing of instructions and data values. (col. 13, line 42-col. 15, line 37)

2. Claims 4-5, 11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nachenberg U.S. Patent Number 6,357,008 in view of Nachenberg US 6,851,057 (hereinafter Nachenberg '057) in view of Schmall et al. (hereinafter Schmall) US 7,069,589 and further in view of Ho et al. (hereinafter Ho) U.S. Patent Number 7,188,369.

As per claims 4 and 14:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. None of the references explicitly disclose wherein the standardized version maps instructions of the suspect program to corresponding standard synonym instructions. Ho in analogous art, however, discloses wherein the standardized version maps instructions of the suspect program to corresponding standard synonym instructions. (col. 5, lines 25-col. 6, line 40) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall with Ho in order to receive external instructions and for execution and perform their respective antivirus functionalities. (col. 6, lines 18-21; Ho)

As per claims 5 and 15:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057, Schmall and Ho teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Ho further teaches wherein the standard synonym instructions are different in number from the instructions of the suspect program to which the synonym instructions map. (col. 5, lines 25-col. 6, line 40) As per claims 11 and 16:

Application/Control Number: 10/629,292 Page 7

Art Unit: 2437

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. None of the references explicitly disclose including a library of patterns matching to one or more instructions of the suspect program and wherein the preprocessor creates the standardized version by replacing instructions of the suspect program with matching ones of the library of patterns and wherein the library of standardized malicious code portions are also collections of ones of the library of patterns. (col. 5, lines 25-col. 6, line 40) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057 and Schmall with Ho in order to receive external instructions and for execution and perform their respective antivirus functionalities. (col. 6, lines 18-21; Ho)

As per claim 17:

The combination of Nachenberg, Nachenberg '057, Schmall and Ho teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Ho further teaches wherein a pattern is at least one instruction logically replacing at least one different instruction in the suspect program. (col. 5, lines 25-col. 6, line 40)

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,292 Page 8

Art Unit: 2437

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHEWAYE GELAGAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4219. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 2437

/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437